

DISCRETE SUBDIFFUSION EQUATIONS WITH MEMORY.

RODRIGO PONCE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a discrete subdiffusion equation with memory. Based on the backward operator and the theory of fractional resolvent families, we find a discrete fractional resolvent sequence which allows to write the solution to this discrete subdiffusion equation as a variation of constant formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of the heat conduction in materials with memory, was firstly studied by Coleman and Gurtin [12] and Gurtin and Pipkin [21], where the authors deduced a differential equation of first order with memory, which can be written in the form of

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u'(t) = Au(t) + \int_0^t a(t-s)Au(s)ds + f(t), & t \geq 0 \\ u(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where A is a closed operator (typically is the second order operator) defined in a Banach space X , the initial condition x belongs to X , a is a locally integrable kernel known as the *heat relaxation function*, and f is a suitable continuous function. Typical choices of kernels a are given by $a(t) = \rho \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} e^{-\beta t}$, where $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $\mu > 0$, see for instance [49]. The existence and uniqueness of solutions to equation (1.1) has been widely studied in the last five decades, see for instance the monographs [17, 20, 49] and the references therein. More concretely, it is well known that if $a \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (for instance for $\mu > 1$) and A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup, then the problem (1.1) has a unique solution u , see for instance [17, Chapter VI, Section 7]. But, if $a \notin W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (for instance for $0 < \mu < 1$), then the classical theory of C_0 -semigroups does not allow to ensure the existence of such solutions. However, if A generates a resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ (see [15, 52]), then there exists a unique mild solution u to (1.1) given by the variation of constants formula

$$(1.2) \quad u(t) = S^a(t)x + \int_0^t S^a(t-s)f(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here, the Laplace transform $\hat{\cdot}$, of $S^a(t)$ verifies $\widehat{S^a}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1+\hat{a}(\lambda)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\hat{a}(\lambda)} - A \right)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\frac{\lambda}{1+\hat{a}(\lambda)} \in \rho(A)$. We notice that if $a(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, (that is, the problem of the heat conduction without memory) then $S^a(t)$ is precisely the C_0 -semigroup generated by the operator A .

On the other hand, in the last two decades, fractional calculus have been used in many mathematical models to describe a wide variety of phenomena, including problems in viscoelasticity, signal and image processing, engineering, fractional Brownian motion, fractional stochastic differential equations, economics, epidemiology and among others. See [9, 23, 26, 29, 44, 45, 51] and the references therein. More specifically, the subdiffusion equation

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + f(t), & t \geq 0 \\ u(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34A08; Secondary 47D06, 65J08, 65M22, 65M60.

Key words and phrases. Fractional differential equations, equation with memory, resolvent families, backward operator.

where A is a closed linear operator defined in X , $x \in X$, f is a suitable continuous function and, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\partial_t^\alpha u$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of u of order α , has been studied both in abstract and applied settings. The mild solution to (1.3) can be written again as a variation of constant formula:

$$(1.4) \quad u(t) = S_{\alpha,1}(t)x + \int_0^t S_{\alpha,\alpha}(t-s)f(s)ds,$$

where, for $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $S_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is the fractional resolvent family generated by A which can be defined as $S_{\alpha,\beta}(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{\lambda t} \lambda^{\alpha-\beta} (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} d\lambda$, $t \geq 0$, where, γ is a suitable complex path where the resolvent operator $(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}$ is well-defined. We notice that the function $S_{\alpha,\beta}(t)$ corresponds precisely to a generalization of the scalar Mittag-Leffler function, which is defined by $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k / \Gamma(\alpha k + \beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Ha} e^\mu \mu^{\alpha-\beta} (\mu^\alpha - z)^{-1} d\mu$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where, Ha is a Hankel path, i.e. a contour which starts and ends at $-\infty$ and encircles the disc $|\mu| \leq |z|^{1/\alpha}$ counterclockwise.

Several different time discretizations of integro-differential equations with memory terms of convolution type in the form of (1.1) have been considered by many authors in the last decades. For example, the authors in [50] take the operator A as an unbounded positive-definite self-adjoint operator with dense domain in a Hilbert space and the operator, in [43], the authors consider A as closed linear operator in a Banach space satisfying the resolvent estimate $\|(z - A)^{-1}\| \leq M_\delta / (1 + |z|)$, for $z \in \Sigma_\delta := \{z \neq 0, |\arg(z)| < \delta\} \cup \{0\}$ for some $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \pi)$, where M_δ is a positive constant, and the kernel a verifies appropriate conditions. See also [10, 11, 14] for a different approach to the scalar case. A typical kernel satisfying such conditions is $a(t) = \rho e^{-\beta t}$ with $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \geq 0$, see [43, Section 2]. In the case of the kernel a defined by $a(t) = t^{\alpha-1} / \Gamma(\alpha)$, time discretizations in Banach spaces have been studied, for example, in [42] for $0 < \alpha < 1$ (where A verifies the same resolvent estimate above) and in [13] for $1 < \alpha < 2$ (where A is a sectorial operator). Finally, very recently, in [38] the authors study a time discretization of (1.1) where A is assumed to be the generator of a resolvent family $\{S^\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ for the discrete time step $\tau = 1$ via the Poisson transform [35].

In addition, there is a recent and extensive literature on time discretization of fractional differential equations in the form of (1.3). See for instance [39, 40] for a classical point of view. In [5, 6, 16, 18] the authors study scalar fractional differential equations in the form of (1.3). The authors in [27] study discrete maximal regularity of fractional evolution equations for the Caputo and Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives on Banach spaces with the *UMD* property. In [36, 37] the authors develop a method based on operator-valued Fourier multipliers for the well posedness of fractional difference equations in Banach spaces. On the other hand, in [2, 24, 35] the authors study the existence of solutions to fractional difference equations (for $0 < \alpha < 1$) in the form of

$$(1.5) \quad {}_C\nabla^\alpha u^n = Au^{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with the initial condition $u^0 = u_0 \in X$, where ${}_C\nabla^\alpha u^n$ is an approximation of the Caputo fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha u(t)$ (at time $t = n$). By using a subordination principle and a discretization via the Poisson transform ([35]), the authors define a discrete fractional resolvent family $\{\mathcal{S}_\alpha(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ generated by the operator A , and then the authors proved that the solution to this equation can be written in terms of the resolvent $\{\mathcal{S}_\alpha(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. The case $1 < \alpha < 2$ has been recently studied by using similar methods in [4]. We notice that (1.5) corresponds to a time discretization of the fractional differential equation (1.3) given by the Poisson transformation [35] for the discrete time step size $\tau = 1$. Finally, in [47] the author studies time discretization to (1.3) for a time step size $\tau > 0$ and finds interesting connections between $\{S_{\alpha,\beta}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, a discrete fractional resolvent sequence $\{S_{\alpha,\beta}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and the solution to discrete fractional differential equations in the form of

$$(1.6) \quad {}_C\nabla^\alpha u^n = Au^n + f^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where ${}_C\nabla^\alpha u^n$ is an approximation of the Caputo fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha u(t)$ (at time $t = \tau n$). More concretely, in [47] has been proved that the solution to (1.6) under the initial condition $u^0 = x$, is given by the variation of constant formula $u^n = S_{\alpha,1}^n x + \tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha} \star f)^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where, for $\alpha, \beta > 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the

fractional resolvent sequence $\{S_{\alpha,\beta}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is defined by $S_{\alpha,\beta}^n := \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) S_{\alpha,\beta}(t) dt$, and for a fixed $\tau > 0$, $\rho_n^\tau(t) := e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^n \frac{1}{\tau n!}$, $(S_{\alpha,\alpha} \star f)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{n-j} f^j$ and $f^j := \int_0^\infty \rho_j^\tau(t) f(t) dt$.

On the other hand, the subdiffusion equation with memory

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u(t) = Au(t) + \int_0^t \kappa(t-s) Au(s) ds + f(t), & t \geq 0 \\ u(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, A is a closed linear operator defined in a Banach space X , $x \in X$ and κ is suitable kernel has been studied recently in [1, 30, 31, 32] and [48]. Again, the function $\kappa(t) = e^{-\rho t} \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)}$ where $\rho \geq 0$ and $0 < \mu \leq 1$ corresponds to a typical example of such kernels. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is not literature on time discretization of (1.7) for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

In this paper, we study the discrete subdiffusion equation with memory

$$(1.8) \quad {}_C \nabla^\alpha u^n = Au^n + \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j} Au^j + f^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

under the initial condition $u^0 = x$. Observe that this equation corresponds to a time discretization (for a time step size $\tau > 0$) of (1.7) which can be obtained by multiplying the subdiffusion equation with memory (1.7) by $\rho_n^\tau(t)$, and next integrating over $[0, \infty)$ (see Section 2). Based on the theory of fractional resolvent families for linear and closed operators and on the properties of the function $\rho_n^\tau(t)$ for a time step size $\tau > 0$ (known as *Poisson distribution*), in this paper we study the existence and representation of the solutions to problem (1.8). More precisely, we will show that the solution to equation (1.8) can be written as a variation of parameter formula in terms of certain discrete fractional resolvent family similarly to the case of the equation (1.6). We notice that for $\alpha = 1$, ${}_C \nabla^1 u^n$ corresponds to the backward Euler difference $(u^n - u^{n-1})/\tau$ and therefore the discrete equation with memory (1.8) generalizes the integro-differential equations proposed in [38, 42, 43, 50], and if $\kappa(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then (1.8) corresponds to a time discretization of the fractional subdiffusion (1.1).

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of resolvent families and we give some preliminaries on continuous and discrete fractional calculus. In Section 3 we study the discrete fractional subdiffusion equation with memory (1.8). Here, by assuming that A is the generator of a resolvent family, we prove that the equation (1.8) under the initial condition $u^0 = x$ has a unique solution, which can be written as a variation of constant formula. Finally, in Section 4, assuming that $A = \varrho I$ for some $\varrho > 0$ or A is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ (where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded open set) with compact resolvent, we give an explicit representation of solutions to (1.8).

2. RESOLVENT FAMILIES AND CONTINUOUS AND DISCRETE FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

For a given a Banach spaces $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, the Banach space of all bounded and linear operators from X into X is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(X)$. If A is a closed linear operator defined in X , then $\rho(A)$ denotes the resolvent set of A and $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda - A)^{-1}$ is its resolvent operator, which is defined for all $\lambda \in \rho(A)$.

We say that a family of operators $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ is *exponentially bounded* if there exist real numbers $M > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In this case, the Laplace transform of $S(t)$, $\hat{S}(\lambda)x := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x dt$, is well defined for all $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$.

Given $\alpha > 0$, the function g_α is defined by $g_\alpha(t) := \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function. We note that if $\alpha, \beta > 0$, then $g_{\alpha+\beta} = g_\alpha * g_\beta$, where $(f * g)$ is the usual finite convolution $(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t f(t-s)g(s)ds$. For a locally integrable function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$, we define the *Laplace transform* of f , denoted by $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ (or $\mathcal{L}(f)(\lambda)$) as

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$$

whenever the integral is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a closed and linear operator defined in a Banach space X and $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We say that A is the generator of a resolvent family, if there exist $M > 0$, $\omega \geq 0$ and a strongly continuous function $S^a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $\|S^a(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$, $\{\frac{\lambda}{1+\hat{a}(\lambda)} : \text{Re}\lambda > \omega\} \subset \rho(A)$ and for all $x \in X$,

$$\frac{1}{1+\hat{a}(\lambda)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\hat{a}(\lambda)} - A \right)^{-1} x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S^a(t) x dt, \quad \text{Re}\lambda > \omega.$$

In this case, $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called the resolvent family generated by A .

Now, we notice that if A is the generator of the resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, and $c(t) := 1$, $b(t) := 1 + (1 * a)(t)$, then $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ corresponds to a (b, c) -regularized family according to [34]. This implies that if $a \equiv 0$, then $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the C_0 -semigroup generated by A . Moreover, it is a well-known fact that if A generates a resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then solution u to (1.1) is given by the variation of parameters formula (1.2).

Definition 2.2. Let A be a closed and linear operator defined on a Banach space X and $\kappa \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Given $\alpha, \beta > 0$ we say that A is the generator of an (α, β) -resolvent family, if there exist $\omega \geq 0$ and a strongly continuous function $S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is exponentially bounded, $\{\frac{\lambda^\alpha}{1+\hat{\kappa}(\lambda)} : \text{Re}\lambda > \omega\} \subset \rho(A)$, and for all $x \in X$,

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{\lambda^{\alpha-\beta}}{1+\hat{\kappa}(\lambda)} \left(\frac{\lambda^\alpha}{1+\hat{\kappa}(\lambda)} - A \right)^{-1} x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t) x dt, \quad \text{Re}\lambda > \omega.$$

In this case, $\{S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called the (α, β) -resolvent family generated by A .

We observe that if $\alpha = \beta = 1$, then a $(1, 1)$ -resolvent family $\{S^{\kappa}_{1, 1}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ corresponds to the resolvent family $\{S^\kappa(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ according to Definition 2.1. Moreover, a closed linear operator A generates a unique (α, β) -resolvent family, and if $c(t) := g_\alpha(t) + (\kappa * g_\alpha)(t)$ and A is the generator of an (α, β) -resolvent family $\{S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)\}_{t > 0}$ then $\{S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)\}_{t > 0}$ is a (c, g_β) -regularized family as well (according to [34]), and then we can prove the following result, see [34] for further details. See also [1, Definition 2.3 and Remark 2.4] and [3, Section 4]

Proposition 2.3. If $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and A generates an (α, β) -resolvent family $\{S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)\}_{t > 0}$, then

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)x}{g_\beta(t)} = x$, for all $x \in X$,
- (2) $S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)x \in D(A)$ and $S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)Ax = AS^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)x$ for all $x \in D(A)$ and $t > 0$
- (3) For all $x \in D(A)$,

$$S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)x = g_\beta(t)x + \int_0^t c(t-s)AS^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(s)x ds,$$

- (4) $\int_0^t c(t-s)S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(s)x ds \in D(A)$ and

$$S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(t)x = g_\beta(t)x + A \int_0^t c(t-s)S^{\kappa}_{\alpha, \beta}(s)x ds,$$

for all $x \in X$,

where $c(t) := g_\alpha(t) + (\kappa * g_\alpha)(t)$.

For $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ is defined by

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{\Gamma(\alpha j + \beta)}.$$

Given $\alpha > -1, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the Wright function $W_{\alpha,\beta}$ is defined by

$$W_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j! \Gamma(\alpha j + \beta)}.$$

If $\beta \geq 0$, then for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha > -1$, we have (see [41]) that

$$W_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{H_a} \mu^{-\beta} e^{\mu+z\mu^{-\alpha}} d\mu,$$

where H_a denotes the Hankel path defined as a contour that begins and ends at $t = -\infty - ia$ ($a > 0$), encircles the branch cut that lies along the negative real axis, and ends up at $t = -\infty + ib$ ($b > 0$), see for instance [41].

Definition 2.4. [3, Definition 3.1] *For $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we define the function $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}$ in two variables by*

$$\psi_{\alpha,\beta}(t, s) := t^{\beta-1} W_{-\alpha,\beta}(-st^\alpha), \quad t > 0, s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By [3, Theorem 3.2] it follows that if $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, then $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}(t, s) \geq 0$ for $t, s > 0$ and

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \psi_{\alpha,\beta}(t, s) dt = \lambda^{-\beta} e^{-\lambda^\alpha s}, \quad \text{for } s, \lambda > 0.$$

Moreover, there exists an interesting connection between $S^a(t)$ and $S_{\alpha,\beta}^\kappa(t)$. In fact, let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$, and let $\kappa \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a given kernel and assume that there exist $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\nu \leq 0$ and such that $\hat{a}(\lambda^\alpha) = \hat{\kappa}(\lambda)$ for all $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \nu$. Suppose that A is the generator of a resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then, A is also the generator of the $(\alpha, \alpha + \varepsilon)$ -resolvent family $\{S_{\alpha,\alpha+\varepsilon}^\kappa(t)\}_{t > 0}$ defined by

$$S_{\alpha,\alpha+\varepsilon}^\kappa(t)x := \int_0^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha,\varepsilon}(t, s) S^a(s) x ds, \quad t > 0, x \in X$$

where $\psi_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ is the Wright type function given in Definition 2.4. Moreover, if $\varepsilon > 0$, then $S_{\alpha,\alpha+\varepsilon}^\kappa(t)x = (g_\varepsilon * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa)(t)x$, for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

In particular, if we take $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 1 - \alpha$, then we obtain the following subordination result.

Proposition 2.5. [48] *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $\kappa \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a given kernel. Assume that there exist $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\nu \leq 0$ such that $\hat{a}(\lambda^\alpha) = \hat{\kappa}(\lambda)$ for all $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \nu$. Suppose that A is the generator of a resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|S^a(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $M, \omega \geq 0$. Then, A is the generator of the resolvent families $\{S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa(t)\}_{t > 0}$ and $\{S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa(t)\}_{t > 0}$ which are, respectively, defined by*

$$(2.11) \quad S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa(t)x := \int_0^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha,0}(t, s) S^a(s) x ds, \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa(t)x := \int_0^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t, s) S^a(s) x ds, \quad t > 0.$$

We notice that if $\kappa(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, then a kernel a satisfying the above conditions is $a(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, if A is the generator of a resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ (with $a \equiv 0$), that is, A generates a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, then A also generates the resolvent families

$$S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa(t)x := \int_0^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha,0}(t, s) T(s) x ds, \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa(t)x := \int_0^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t, s) T(s) x ds, \quad t > 0,$$

These last relations are known as *subordination principles*, see for instance [3, 7, 8, 28].

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the Caputo fractional derivative of order α of a function f is defined by

$$\partial_t^\alpha f(t) := (g_{1-\alpha} * f')(t) = \int_0^t g_{1-\alpha}(t-s) f'(s) ds.$$

It is well known that if $\alpha = 1$, then $\partial_t^1 = \frac{d}{dt}$. For further details on fractional calculus we refer to the reader to [41]. Moreover, an easy computation shows that $\hat{g}_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha}$ for all $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$ and applying the properties of the Laplace transform, we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad \widehat{\partial_t^\alpha f}(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha \hat{f}(\lambda) - \lambda^{\alpha-1} f(0)$$

for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Here, the power λ^α is uniquely defined by $\lambda^\alpha := |\lambda|^\alpha e^{i\arg(\lambda)}$, with $-\pi < \arg(\lambda) < \pi$.

Now, we review some details on discrete fractional calculus. We refer the reader to [19, 47] for further details. We denote the set of all non-negative integers by \mathbb{N}_0 and the non-negative real numbers by \mathbb{R}_0^+ . Give $\tau > 0$ fixed and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define

$$\rho_n^\tau(t) := e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^n \frac{1}{\tau n!}.$$

An easy computation shows that $\rho_n^\tau(t) \geq 0$, $\rho_n^\tau(t) = \tau^{-1} \rho_n(t/\tau)$ where $\rho_n(t) := e^{-t} t^n / n!$, and

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) dt = 1, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For a bounded and locally integrable function $u : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow X$, we define the sequence $(u^n)_n$ (known as *Poisson transformation*, see [35]) by

$$u^n := \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) u(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We observe that for $\tau > 0$ small enough, the function $\rho_n^\tau(t)$ behaves like a delta function at $t_n := \tau n$ and then, u^n corresponds to an approximation of u at t_n .

Given the Banach space X , $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_0^+; X)$ denotes the vector space of all vector-valued functions $v : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow X$. The *backward Euler operator* $\nabla_\tau : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_0^+; X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_0^+; X)$ is defined by

$$\nabla_\tau v^n := \frac{v^n - v^{n-1}}{\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $m \geq 2$, we define recursively $\nabla_\tau^m : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_0^+; X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_0^+; X)$ as

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla_\tau^m v^n := \begin{cases} \nabla_\tau^{m-1}(\nabla_\tau v)^n, & n \geq m \\ 0, & n < m, \end{cases}$$

where $\nabla_\tau^1 \equiv \nabla_\tau$ and ∇_τ^0 is the identity operator. The operator ∇_τ^m is called the *backward difference operator of order m* . It is easy to see that if $v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_0^+; X)$, then

$$(\nabla_\tau^m v)^n = \frac{1}{\tau^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j v^{n-j}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, we define the sequence

$$(2.15) \quad k_\tau^\alpha(n) := \tau \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) g_\alpha(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha > 0.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$k_\tau^\alpha(n) = \frac{\tau^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(n + 1)} = \tau \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} g_\alpha(\tau), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha > 0.$$

Definition 2.6. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. The α^{th} -fractional sum of $v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is defined by

$$(2.16) \quad (\nabla_\tau^{-\alpha} v)^n := \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^\alpha(n-j) v^j, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Definition 2.7. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. The Caputo fractional backward difference operator of order α of v , ${}_C \nabla^\alpha : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_+; X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$, is defined by

$$({}_C \nabla^\alpha v)^n := \nabla_\tau^{-(1-\alpha)} (\nabla_\tau^1 v)^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As in [19, Chapter 1, Section 1.5] we define by convention $\sum_{j=0}^{-k} v^j = 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $\alpha = 1$, then the fractional backward difference operator ${}_C\nabla^\alpha$ is defined as the backward difference operator ∇_τ . From [47] we have that if $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then ${}_C\nabla^{\alpha+1}v^n = {}_C\nabla^\alpha(\nabla^1v)^n$, and moreover, we have the following result that relates the Caputo fractional derivative and the Caputo difference operator.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. If $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is differentiable and bounded, then $\int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \partial_t^\alpha u(t) dt = {}_C\nabla^\alpha u^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Thus, ${}_C\nabla^\alpha v^n$, corresponds to an approximation of the Caputo fractional derivative $\partial_t^\alpha u(t)$ at the point $t_n = n\tau$.

Now, given a family of operators $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$, we define the sequence

$$S^n x := \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) S(t) x dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, x \in X.$$

Similarly, if $c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous and bounded function, we define $c^n := \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) c(t) dt$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and the discrete convolution is defined by

$$(c \star S)^n := \sum_{k=0}^n c^{n-k} S^k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The next result summarizes several properties of the sequences defined above. We refer the reader to [35] and [47] for further details.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\tau > 0$ be fixed. Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ be strongly continuous and Laplace transformable such that $\hat{S}(1/\tau)$ exists.*

(1) *If $c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Laplace transformable such that $\hat{c}(1/\tau)$ exists, then*

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) (c \star S)(t) x dt = \tau (c \star S)^n x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

(2) *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) (g_\alpha \star S)(t) x dt = \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^\alpha(n-j) S^j x, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

(3) *If $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ is Laplace transformable such that $\hat{f}(1/\tau)$ exists, then*

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) (S \star f)(t) x dt = \tau (S \star f)^n x = \tau \sum_{j=0}^n S^{n-j} f^j, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Finally, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a family of exponentially bounded linear operators such that $\hat{S}(1/\tau)$ exists. If $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$, $a : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $\hat{a}(1/\tau)$ and $\hat{f}(1/\tau)$ exist, then*

$$\tau^2 (a \star S \star f)^n = \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) (a \star S \star f)(t) dt,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $(a \star S \star f)^n := (a \star (S \star f))^n$. Moreover, $(a \star (S \star f))^n = ((a \star S) \star f)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Since $(a \star S \star f)(t) = (a \star (S \star f))(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, the Proposition 2.9 and the definition of discrete convolution imply that

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) (a \star S \star f)(t) dt = \tau (a \star (S \star f))^n = \tau \sum_{k=0}^n a^{n-k} (S \star f)^k = \tau^2 \sum_{k=0}^n a^{n-k} (S \star f)^k = \tau^2 (a \star (S \star f))^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

3. SOLUTIONS TO A DISCRETE FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH MEMORY

Now, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, we consider the equation

$$(3.17) \quad {}_C\nabla^\alpha u^n = Au^n + \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j} Au^j + f^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

under the initial condition $u^0 = x$. The main result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\tau > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let A be the generator of an (α, α) -resolvent family $\{S_{\alpha, \alpha}^\kappa(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ exponentially bounded with $\|S_{\alpha, \alpha}^\kappa(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$. If $x \in X$ and f is bounded, then the fractional difference equation (3.17) under the initial condition $u^0 = x$ has a unique solution given by*

$$(3.18) \quad u^n = S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, n} x + \tau (S_{\alpha, \alpha}^\kappa \star f)^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa(t) := (g_{1-\alpha} * S_{\alpha, \alpha}^\kappa)(t)$ and

$$S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, n} := \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa(t) dt.$$

Proof. As in the proof of [35, Theorem 4.4] it is easy to see that $S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, n} x \in D(A)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in X$. From Proposition 2.3 we know that

$$S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa(t)x = x + A \int_0^t c(t-s) S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa(s) x ds = x + A(c * S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa)(t)x,$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in X$, where $c(t) = g_\alpha(t) + (\kappa * g_\alpha)(t)$. Multiplying this equality by $\rho_j^\tau(t)$ and integrating over $[0, \infty)$ we conclude (by Proposition 2.9) that

$$(3.19) \quad S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, j} x = x + \tau A \sum_{l=0}^j c^{j-l} S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, l} x,$$

for all $j \geq 0$ and $x \in X$. Now, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have by definition that

$${}_C\nabla^\alpha (S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa x)^n = \nabla_\tau^{-(1-\alpha)} \nabla_\tau^1 (S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa x)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha} (n-j) (\nabla_\tau^1 S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa x)^j,$$

and by (3.19) we get

$$(\nabla_\tau^1 S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa x)^j = \frac{1}{\tau} (S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, j} x - S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, j-1} x) = A \sum_{l=0}^j c^{j-l} S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, l} x - A \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} c^{j-1-l} S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, l} x$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Let $R(t) := (c * S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa)(t)$. By Proposition 2.9 we have

$$R^j = \tau \sum_{l=0}^j c^{j-l} S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, l},$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha} (n-j) \sum_{l=0}^j c^{j-l} S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, l} x = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha} (n-j) R^j x = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) (g_{1-\alpha} * R)(t) x dt.$$

Since $c(t) = g_\alpha(t) + (\kappa * g_\alpha)$ and $(g_\alpha * g_{1-\alpha})(t) = g_1(t)$, we have by definition of R that

$$(g_{1-\alpha} * R)(t) = (g_{1-\alpha} * c * S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa)(t) = (g_1 * S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa)(t) + (g_1 * \kappa * S_{\alpha, 1}^\kappa)(t),$$

and then, the Proposition 2.9 implies again that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t)(g_{1-\alpha} * R)(t)xdt &= \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t)(g_1 * S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)(t)xdt + \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t)(g_1 * \kappa * S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)(t)xdt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j)S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,j}x + \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j)(\kappa * S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)^jx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_\tau^1(n) = \tau$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and by Proposition 2.9

$$(\kappa * S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)^jx = \int_0^\infty \rho_j^\tau(t)(\kappa * S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)(t)xdt = \tau \sum_{l=0}^j \kappa^{j-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x,$$

we conclude that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^j c^{j-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x = \sum_{j=0}^n S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,j}x + \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^j \kappa^{j-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x.$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^{-l} v^j = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we can prove similarly that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} c^{j-1-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,j}x + \tau \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^j \kappa^{j-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_C\nabla^\alpha(S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa x)^n &= A \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^j c^{j-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x - A \sum_{j=1}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} c^{j-1-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x \\ &= A \sum_{j=0}^n S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,j}x - A \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,j}x + \tau A \left[\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^j \kappa^{j-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^j \kappa^{j-l}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,l}x \right] \\ &= AS_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau A \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j}S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,j}x \\ &= AS_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau A(\kappa * S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)^n x, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. Therefore

$$(3.20) \quad {}_C\nabla^\alpha(S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)^n x = AS_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau A(\kappa * S_{\alpha,1}^\kappa)^n x.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_C\nabla^\alpha((S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^n) &= \nabla_\tau^{-(1-\alpha)}\nabla_\tau^1(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^n \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j)\nabla_\tau^1(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^j \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j)(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^j - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j)(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.9 we deduce that

$$(3.21) \quad (S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^j = \frac{1}{\tau}(S_{\alpha,\alpha} * f)^j,$$

and, for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in X$ we have, by Proposition 2.3, that

$$S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa(t)x = g_\alpha(t)x + A(c * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa)(t)x = g_\alpha(t)x + A(g_\alpha * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa)(t)x + A(g_\alpha * \kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa)(t)x.$$

Hence

$$(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)(t) = (g_\alpha * f)(t) + A(g_\alpha * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)(t) + A(g_\alpha * \kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)(t).$$

Multiplying this equality by $\rho_j^\tau(t)$ and integrating over $[0, \infty)$ we get

$$(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^j = (g_\alpha * f)^j + A(g_\alpha * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^j + A(g_\alpha * \kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^j.$$

By Proposition 2.9, Lemma 2.10 and equation (3.21), this last equality is equivalent to

$$\tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^j = \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)f^l + \tau A \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l + \tau^2 A \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)(\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_C\nabla^\alpha((S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^n) &= \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \left[\frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)f^l + A \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tau A \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)(\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \left[\frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} k_\tau^\alpha(j-1-l)f^l + A \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} k_\tau^\alpha(j-1-l)(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tau A \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} k_\tau^\alpha(j-1-l)(\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As before, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)f^l &= \tau \sum_{j=0}^n f^j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} k_\tau^\alpha(j-1-l)f^l = \tau \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f^j, \\ \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l &= \tau \sum_{j=0}^n (S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l, \\ \sum_{j=1}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} k_\tau^\alpha(j-1-l)(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l &= \tau \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l, \\ \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^j k_\tau^\alpha(j-l)(\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l &= \tau \sum_{j=0}^n (\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^n k_\tau^{1-\alpha}(n-j) \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} k_\tau^\alpha(j-1-l)(\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l = \tau \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_C\nabla^\alpha((S_{\alpha,\alpha} * f)^n) &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\sum_{j=0}^n f^j - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f^j \right] + A \left[\sum_{j=0}^n (S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l \right] \\ &\quad + \tau A \left[\sum_{j=0}^n (\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^l \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} f^n + A(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^n + \tau A(\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^n, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$(3.22) \quad {}_C\nabla^\alpha(\tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha} * f)^n) = f^n + \tau A(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^n + \tau^2 A(\kappa * S_{\alpha,\alpha}^\kappa * f)^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By (3.20) and (3.22) we conclude that if $u^n := S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} {}_C\nabla^\alpha(u^n) &= {}_C\nabla^\alpha(S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n) \\ &= AS_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau A(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa})^n x + \tau A(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n + \tau^2 A(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n + f^n \\ &= A[S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa})^n x + \tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n + \tau^2(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n] + f^n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(3.23) \quad {}_C\nabla^\alpha(u^n) = Au^n + A[\tau(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa})^n + \tau^2(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n] + f^n.$$

Now, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j} Au^j &= \tau A \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j} [S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,j}x + \tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^j] \\ &= \tau A(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa})^n x + \tau^2 A \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j} (S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^j \\ &= \tau A(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa})^n x + \tau^2 A(\kappa \star S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing this last equality in (3.23) we conclude that

$${}_C\nabla^\alpha(u^n) = Au^n + \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j} Au^j + f^n,$$

which means that u^n solves the equation (3.17). The uniqueness, follows from the uniqueness of the resolvent family $\{S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ generated by A . \square

In the next result we use the subordination principle given in Proposition 2.5.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\kappa \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a given kernel. Assume that there exist $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\nu \leq 0$ such that $\hat{a}(\lambda^\alpha) = \hat{\kappa}(\lambda)$ for all $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \nu$. Suppose that A is the generator of a resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|S^a(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $M, \omega \geq 0$. Then, the solution to (3.17) under the initial condition $u^0 = x$, is given by*

$$u^n = S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x + \tau(S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n,$$

where

$$(3.24) \quad S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,s) S^a(s) ds dt \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa,n} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,0}(t,s) S^a(s) ds dt.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.5, the operator A generates the resolvent families $\{S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa}(t)\}_{t > 0}$ and $\{S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa}(t)\}_{t > 0}$ defined, respectively, by (2.11) and (2.12). Hence,

$$S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}x = \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa}(t)x dt = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,s) S^a(s)x ds dt,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $x \in X$. Analogously,

$$S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa,n} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,0}(t,s) S^a(s)x ds dt.$$

Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 3.11. \square

Remark 3.13. Observe that if $\kappa(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, then $a(t) = 0$ satisfies the condition in Theorem 3.12 and therefore $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ corresponds to the C_0 -semigroup generated by A . Thus, by [2, Theorem 3.5] the operator A generates a discrete α -resolvent family according to [2, Definition 3.1] which coincides with the discrete resolvent family $\{S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ defined in (3.24).

Corollary 3.14. *Let $\kappa \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be a given kernel. Assume that there exist $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\nu \leq 0$ such that $\hat{a}(\lambda^\alpha) = \hat{\kappa}(\lambda)$ for all $\text{Re}(\lambda) > \nu$. Suppose that A is the generator of a resolvent family $\{S^\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|S^\alpha(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $M, \omega \geq 0$. Then, the sequences $\{S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ can be written as*

$$S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n} = \frac{1}{\tau^{n+1}} \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha n} E_{\alpha,\alpha n+1}^{n+1} \left(-\frac{r^\alpha}{\tau} \right) S^\alpha(r) dr$$

and

$$S_{\alpha,\alpha}^{\kappa,n} = \frac{1}{\tau^{n+1}} \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha(n+1)-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha(n+1)}^{n+1} \left(-\frac{r^\alpha}{\tau} \right) S^\alpha(r) dr,$$

where, for $p, q, r > 0$, $E_{p,q}^r(z)$ is the generalized Mittag-Leffler function defined by

$$E_{p,q}^r(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r)_j z^j}{j! \Gamma(pj + q)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $(r)_j$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined by $(r)_j := \frac{\Gamma(r+j)}{\Gamma(r)}$.

Proof. In fact, by Theorem 3.12 and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$S_{\alpha,1}^{\kappa,n} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,r) S^\alpha(r) ds dt = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,r) dt S^\alpha(r) dr.$$

Now, by [1, Proposition 2.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,r) dt &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}t} \left(\frac{t}{\tau} \right)^n \frac{1}{\tau n!} \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,r) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau^{n+1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}t} g_{n+1}(t) \psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,r) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau^{n+1}} r^{\alpha n} E_{\alpha,\alpha n+1}^{n+1} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} r^\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

And, similarly,

$$\int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \psi_{\alpha,0}(t,r) dt = \frac{1}{\tau^{n+1}} r^{\alpha(n+1)-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha(n+1)}^{n+1} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} r^\alpha \right).$$

□

Remark 3.15. By [33, Formula (3.8) in Corollary 3.3] and [33, Corollary 3.3 (b)], we notice that the Wright type functions $\psi_{\alpha,0}$ and $\psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}$ in Theorem 3.12 can be written, respectively as

$$\psi_{\alpha,0}(t,s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{t\rho \cos \theta - s\rho^\alpha \cos \alpha \theta} \cdot \sin(t\rho \sin \theta - s\rho^\alpha \sin \alpha \theta + \theta) d\rho,$$

for $\pi/2 < \theta < \pi$ and

$$\psi_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(t,s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \rho^{\alpha-1} e^{-s\rho^\alpha \cos \alpha(\pi-\theta) - t\rho \cos \theta} \cdot \sin(t\rho \sin \theta - s\rho^\alpha \sin \alpha(\pi-\theta) + \alpha(\pi-\theta)) d\rho,$$

for $\theta \in (\pi - \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}, \pi/2)$.

In Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 3.14, we need to assume that the operator A is the generator of a resolvent family $\{S^\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. In the following result, which is a direct consequence of [48, Theorem 3], we study such conditions. We recall that a linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is said to be ω -sectorial of angle θ if there are constants $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $M > 0$ and $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ such that $\rho(A) \supset \Sigma_{\theta,\omega} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \neq \omega : |\arg(z - \omega)| < \theta\}$ and $\|(z - A)^{-1}\| \leq M/|z - \omega|$ for all $z \in \Sigma_{\theta,\omega}$. If A is 0-sectorial of angle θ , we write $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta, M)$. These operators have been studied widely, both in abstract settings (see for instance [22]) and for their applications in the study of linear and nonlinear integro/differential equations, see for example [13, 28, 46, 53].

On the other hand, a kernel $b \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is called 1-regular (of constant c) if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(3.25) \quad |\lambda \hat{b}'(\lambda)| \leq c |\hat{b}(\lambda)|, \quad \text{for all } \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0,$$

where $\hat{b}'(\lambda)$ is the derivative of $\hat{b}(\lambda)$ with respect to λ . For further details and properties on regular kernels, we refer the reader to [49, Chapter I, Section 3].

Proposition 3.16. *Let $A \in \text{Sect}(\theta, M)$ be a sectorial operator, $\kappa \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$. Assume that there exist $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\nu \leq 0$ such that $\hat{a}(\lambda^\alpha) = \hat{\kappa}(\lambda)$ for all $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > \nu$. Suppose that κ is a 1-regular kernel and that the constant c in (3.25) satisfies $(1 + \frac{c}{\alpha}) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta$. Then, the problem (3.17) under the initial condition $u^0 = x$, has a unique solution.*

Proof. By [48, Theorem 3], the operator A generates a resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. And, by Theorem 3.12 the equation (3.17) has a unique solution, which is given by (3.18), where the sequences $\{S^{\kappa, n}_{\alpha, 1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\{S^{\kappa, n}_{\alpha, \alpha}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are given in (3.24). \square

4. EXAMPLES

Suppose that $A = \varrho I$ for some $\varrho > 0$, and assume that $\rho, \mu > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$. Let $\kappa(t) = \gamma \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} e^{-\rho t}$. Assume that f is a bounded function. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^n &= \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) \kappa(t) dt \\ &= \gamma \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^n \frac{1}{\tau n!} \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} e^{-\rho t} dt \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{\mu+n}} \frac{\Gamma(n+\mu)}{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(n+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\frac{1}{\tau}+\rho)t} g_{n+\mu}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\tau} k_\tau^\mu(n) \frac{1}{(1+\rho\tau)^{n+\mu}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_\tau^\mu(n)$ is the sequence defined in (2.15), the homogeneous discrete subdiffusion problem (3.17) under the initial condition $u^0 = x$ reads

$$(4.26) \quad {}_C\nabla^\alpha u^n = \varrho u^n + \gamma \varrho \sum_{j=0}^n k_\tau^\mu(n-j) \frac{1}{(1+\rho\tau)^{n-j+\mu}} u^j + f^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the Laplace transform of $\hat{\kappa}(\lambda) = \frac{\gamma}{(\lambda+\rho)^\mu}$, for all $\lambda > -\rho$, the kernel a in Theorem 3.12 satisfying $\hat{a}(\lambda^\alpha) = \gamma/(\lambda+\rho)^\mu$, is given by (see for instance [25, Formula (11.13)])

$$(4.27) \quad a(t) = \gamma t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}-1} E_{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}^\mu(-\rho t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

If $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$, then by [48, Section 3], the operator A generates the resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ given by

$$(4.28) \quad S^a(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varrho^i \gamma^i}{i!} \int_0^t (t-s)^i e^{\varrho(t-s)} s^{\frac{\mu i}{\alpha}-1} E_{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\mu i}{\alpha}}^{\mu i}(-\rho s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) ds$$

and, the solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = \varrho u(t) + \varrho \int_0^t a(t-s)u(s)ds + f(t), & t > 0, \\ u(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

is given by $u(t) = S^a(t)x + (S^a * f)(t)$. Moreover, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.17. *Suppose that $\varrho > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$. If $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$, then the unique solution u to the scalar Problem (4.26) under the initial condition $u^0 = x$ is given by*

(4.29)

$$\begin{aligned}
u^n &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varrho^i \gamma^i}{i!} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \rho_n^{\tau}(t) \psi_{\alpha, 1-\alpha}(t, s) \int_0^s (s-r)^i e^{\varrho(s-r)} r^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}-1} E_{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}^{\mu i}(-\rho r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) x dr ds dt \\
&+ \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varrho^i \gamma^i}{i!} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \rho_n^{\tau}(t) \psi_{\alpha, 0}(t, s) \int_0^s (s-r)^i e^{\varrho(s-r)} r^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}-1} E_{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}^{\mu i}(-\rho r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) f^j dr ds dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{\alpha, 1-\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha, 0}$ are given in Definition 2.4.

Proof. Since A generates the resolvent family $\{S^a(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, by Theorem 3.12 we conclude that the solution to (4.26) is given

$$\begin{aligned}
u^n &= S_{\alpha, 1}^{\kappa, n} x + \tau (S_{\alpha, \alpha}^{\kappa} \star f)^n \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \rho_n^{\tau}(t) \psi_{\alpha, 1-\alpha}(t, s) S^a(s) x ds dt + \tau \sum_{j=0}^n \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \rho_{n-j}^{\tau}(t) \psi_{\alpha, 0}(t, s) S^a(s) ds dt f^j,
\end{aligned}$$

which can be written as (4.29) by (4.28). \square

Now, let $-A$ be a non-negative and self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded open set. Assume that A has a compact resolvent. Then $-A$ has a discrete spectrum and the corresponding eigenvalues satisfy $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$.

If ϕ_n denotes the normalized eigenfunction associated with λ_n , then $\{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$, and for all $v \in D(A)$ we can write

$$-Av = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \langle v, \phi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \phi_k.$$

Proposition 4.18. *Let A be an operator as above. Suppose that $\kappa(t) = \gamma \frac{t^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} e^{-\rho t}$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Assume that $f(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$. If $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$, then the unique solution u to the semidiscrete Problem*

$$(4.30) \quad {}_C\nabla^{\alpha} u^n(x) = Au^n(x) + \sum_{j=0}^n \kappa^{n-j} Au^j(x) + f^n(x)$$

where $x \in \Omega$, under the initial condition $u^0 = u_0(x)$ and $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.31) \quad u^n &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda_m)^i \gamma^i}{i!} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \rho_n^{\tau}(t) \psi_{\alpha, 1-\alpha}(t, s) \times \\
&\int_0^s (s-r)^i e^{-\lambda_m(s-r)} r^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}-1} E_{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}^{\mu i}(-\rho r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) u_{0,m} \phi_m(x) dr ds dt \\
&+ \tau \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda_m)^i \gamma^i}{i!} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \rho_n^{\tau}(t) \psi_{\alpha, 0}(t, s) \times \\
&\int_0^s (s-r)^i e^{-\lambda_m(s-r)} r^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}-1} E_{\frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{\mu}{\alpha}}^{\mu i}(-\rho r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) f_m^j \phi_m(x) dr ds dt,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_{0,m} := \langle u_0(\cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$, and $f_m(t) := \langle f(t, \cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Proof. Consider the problem

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t, x) = Au(t, x) + \int_0^t \kappa(t-s) Au(s, x) ds + f(t, x), & t \geq 0, x \in \Omega \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.32) by $\phi_m(x)$ and integrating over Ω we obtain

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^\alpha u_m(t) = -\lambda_m u_m(t) - \lambda_m \int_0^t \kappa(t-s)u_m(s)ds + f_m(t), & t > 0, \\ u_m(0) = u_{0,m}, \end{cases}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where the functions u_m , ϕ_m and f_m are defined by $u_m(t) := \langle u(t, \cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$, $u_{0,m} := \langle u_0(\cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$, and $f_m(t) := \langle f(t, \cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Multiplying (4.33) by $\rho_n^\tau(t)$ and integrating over $[0, \infty)$ we get

$$(4.34) \quad {}_C \nabla^\alpha u_m^n = -\lambda_j u_m^n - \lambda_m \gamma \sum_{l=0}^n k_\tau^\mu(n-l) \frac{1}{(1+\rho\tau)^{n-l+\mu}} u_m^l + f_m^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Proposition 4.17, the solution u_m^n to (4.34) under the initial condition $u^0 = u_{0,m}$ is given by (4.29), where ϱ is replaced by $-\lambda_m$, x by $u_{0,m}$ and f by f_m .

On the other hand, an easy computation shows that κ is a 1-regular kernel of a constant μ and $\hat{a}(\lambda^\alpha) = \hat{\kappa}(\lambda)$ for all $\text{Re}(\lambda) > -\rho$, where a is defined in (4.27). Since $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$ and $A \in \text{Sec}(\theta, 1)$ for all $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, we obtain $(1 + \mu/\alpha) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta$. Since $u(t, x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty u_m(t) \phi_m(x)$, we obtain for all $x \in \Omega$ that

$$u^n(x) = \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) u(t, x) dt = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \rho_n^\tau(t) u_m(t) dt \phi_m(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty u_m^n \phi_m(x),$$

which can be written as (4.31). □

Acknowledgements. The author thanks the anonymous referees for reading the manuscript and for making suggestions that have improved the previous version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Abadías, E. Álvarez, *Fractional Cauchy problem with memory effects*, Math. Nachr. **293** (2020), no. 10, 1846-1872.
- [2] L. Abadías, C. Lizama, *Almost automorphic mild solutions to fractional partial difference-differential equations*, Applicable Analysis, **95** (6) (2016), 1347-1369.
- [3] L. Abadías, P. J. Miana, *A Subordination Principle on Wright Functions and Regularized Resolvent Families*, J. of Function Spaces, Volume 2015, Article ID 158145, 9 pages.
- [4] L. Abadías, C. Lizama, P. J. Miana, M. Velasco, *On well-posedness of vector-valued fractional differential-difference equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **39** (2019), no. 5, 2679-2708.
- [5] T. Abdeljawad, *On Riemann and Caputo fractional differences*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), no. 3, 1602-6111.
- [6] F. Atici, P. Eloe, *Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 3, 981-989.
- [7] E. Bazhlekova, *Fractional evolution equations in Banach spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2001.
- [8] E. Bazhlekova, *Subordination principle for a class of fractional order differential equations*, Mathematics **3** (2015), no. 2, 412-427.
- [9] E. Bazhlekova, B. Jin, R. Lazarov, Z. Zhou, *An analysis of the Rayleigh-Stokes problem for a generalized second-grade fluid*, Numer. Math. **131** (2015) 1-31.
- [10] F. Bernardo, C. Cuevas, H. Soto, *Qualitative theory for Volterra difference equations*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **41** (2018), no. 14, 5423-5458.
- [11] C. Chen, T. Shih, *Finite element methods for integrodifferential equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.
- [12] B. Coleman, M. Gurtin, *Equipresence and constitutive equation for rigid heat conductors*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **18** (1967), 199-208.
- [13] E. Cuesta, *Asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of fractional integro-differential equations and some time discretizations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 2007, Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations. Proceedings of the 6th AIMS International Conference, suppl., 277-285
- [14] C. Cuevas, M. Choquehuanca, H. Soto, *Asymptotic analysis for Volterra difference equations*, Asymptot. Anal. **88** (2014), no. 3, 125-164.
- [15] G. Da Prato, M. Iannelli, *Linear integrodifferential equations in Banach space*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **62**, 207-219 (1980).
- [16] J. Diethelm, N. Ford, A. Freed, Y. Luchko, *Algorithms for the fractional calculus: A selection of numerical methods*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **194** (2005) 743-773.

- [17] K. Engel, R. Nagel, *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*. GTM vol. **194**, 2000.
- [18] C. Goodrich, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a fractional difference equation with nonlocal conditions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **61** (2011), no. 2, 191-202.
- [19] C. Goodrich, A. Peterson, *Discrete fractional calculus*, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [20] G. Gripenberg, S-O Londen, O. Staffans, *Volterra Integral and Functional Equations*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Applications, **34**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1990.
- [21] M. Gurtin, A Pipkin, *A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **31**, 113-126, (1968).
- [22] M. Haase, *The functional calculus for sectorial operators*, Operator Theory: Advances and applications, 169, Birkäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [23] Y. Han, Y. Hu, J. Song, *Maximum principle for general controlled systems driven by fractional Brownian motions*, Appl. Math. Optim. **67** (2013), no. 2, 279-322.
- [24] J. He, C. Lizama, Y. Zhou, *The Cauchy problem for discrete-time fractional evolution equations*, J. of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 370 (2020), 112683.
- [25] H. Haubold, A. Mathai, R. Saxena. *Mittag-Leffler Functions and Their Applications*, J. of Appl. Math., Article ID 298628.
- [26] R. Hilfer, *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, (2000).
- [27] B. Jin, B. Li, Z. Zhou, *Discrete maximal regularity of time-stepping schemes for fractional evolution equations*, Numer. Math. **138** (2018), no. 1, 101–131.
- [28] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, M. Warma, *Spectral criteria for solvability of boundary value problems and positivity of solutions of time-fractional differential equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013, Art. ID 614328, 11 pp.
- [29] A. Kilbas, H. Srivastava, J. Trujillo. *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics studies 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [30] M. Krasnoschok, V. Pata, N. Vasylyeva, *Semilinear subdiffusion with memory in the one-dimensional case*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, **165**, 1-17, (2017).
- [31] M. Krasnoschok, V. Pata, N. Vasylyeva, *Solvability of linear boundary value problems for subdiffusion equations with memory*, J. Integral Equations and Appl., **30**, 417-445, (2018).
- [32] M. Krasnoschok, V. Pata, N. Vasylyeva, *Semilinear subdiffusion with memory in multidimensional domains*, Math. Nachr. 292 (2019), no. 7, 1490–1513.
- [33] M. Li, C. Chen, F. Li, *On fractional powers of generators of fractional resolvent families*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010) 2702-2726.
- [34] C. Lizama, *Regularized solutions for abstract Volterra equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **243** (2000), 278-292.
- [35] C. Lizama, *The Poisson distribution, abstract fractional difference equations, and stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 9, 3809–3827.
- [36] C. Lizama, M. Murillo-Arcila, *Maximal regularity in l_p -spaces for discrete time fractional shifted equations*, J. Differential Equations 263 (2017), no. 6, 3175-3196.
- [37] C. Lizama, M. Murillo-Arcila, *Discrete maximal regularity for Volterra equations and nonlocal time-stepping schemes*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **40**, (2020), 509-528.
- [38] C. Lizama, R. Ponce, *Solutions of abstract integro-differential equations via Poisson transformation*, To appear in Math. Methods in Applied Sciences, (2020), DOI:10.1002/mma.6042. See <http://inst-mat.utalca.cl/~rponce/>
- [39] Ch. Lubich, *Discretize fractional calculus*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **17** (1986), 704-719.
- [40] Ch. Lubich, *Convolution quadrature and discretized operational calculus. I*, Numer. Math., **52** (1988), 129-145.
- [41] F. Mainardi, *Fractional calculus and waves in linear viscoelasticity: An introduction to mathematical models*, Imperial College Press, 2010.
- [42] W. McLean, V. Thomée, *Time discretization of an evolution equation via Laplace transforms*, IMA J. of Numerical Analysis **24** (2004), 439-463.
- [43] W. McLean, I. Sloan, V. Thomée, *Time discretization via Laplace transformation of an integro-differential equation of parabolic type*, Numer. Math. **102** (2006), no. 3, 497-522.
- [44] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep., **339** (2000), 1-77.
- [45] K. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, Wiley, New York 1993.
- [46] R. Ponce, *Hölder continuous solutions for fractional differential equations and maximal regularity*, J. Differential Equations **255** (2013), 3284-3304.
- [47] R. Ponce, *Time discretization of fractional subdiffusion equations via fractional resolvent operators*, Comput. Math. Appl., **80** (2020), 69-92.
- [48] R. Ponce, *Subordination Principle for subdiffusion equations with memory*, J. of Integral Equations and Applications, 2020, to appear.
- [49] J. Prüss, *Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications*, Monographs Math., **87**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1993.
- [50] I. Sloan, V. Thomée, *Time discretization of an integro-differential equation of parabolic type*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **23** (1986), no. 5, 1052-1061.

- [51] P. Tamilalagan, P. Balasubramaniam, *The solvability and optimal controls for fractional stochastic differential equations driven by Poisson jumps via resolvent operators*, Appl. Math. Optim. **77** (2018), no. 3, 443-462.
- [52] G. Webb, *An abstract semilinear Volterra integrodifferential equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1978), no. 2, 255-260.
- [53] D. Xu, *Uniform l^1 convergence in the Crank-Nicolson method of a linear integro-differential equation for viscoelastic rods and plates*, Math. Comp. **83** (2014), no. 286, 735-769.

UNIVERSIDAD DE TALCA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CASILLA 747, TALCA-CHILE.
E-mail address: `rponce@inst-mat.otalca.cl`