

HÖLDER CONTINUOUS SOLUTIONS FOR SOBOLEV TYPE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

RODRIGO PONCE

ABSTRACT. We characterize existence and uniqueness of solutions of an abstract differential equation in Hölder spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A and M be two closed linear operators defined on a Banach space X with domains $D(A)$ and $D(M)$, respectively. In this paper, we study the existence, uniqueness and maximal regularity of solutions for the following Sobolev (or degenerate) type differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(Mu(t)) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and the domains of A and M satisfy $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq \{0\}$.

A large number of partial differential equations arising in physics and applied sciences can be expressed by the model (1.1). For example, if $A = \Delta$ is the Laplacian and $M = m$ is the multiplication operator by a function $m(x)$, then the model (1.1) describes the infiltration of water in unsaturated porous media, in which saturation might occur. See [9] and [22] for more details.

A detailed study of linear abstract Sobolev (or degenerate) type differential equations (1.1), has been described in the monographs by Favini and Yagi [14] and by Sviridyuk and Fedorov [25]. See moreover [19].

Existence and uniqueness of Hölder continuous solutions for equations in the form of (1.1) have been extensively studied in the literature. See [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25] and the references therein. The obtained results give sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of Hölder solutions to equation (1.1), but leave as an open problem to *characterize* the well-posedness (or maximal regularity) in terms of hypothesis in the *modified resolvent operator* $(\lambda M - A)^{-1}$ of the operators M and A . We notice that, the problem of characterize the well-posedness (or maximal regularity) of abstract equations on Hölder spaces have been studied intensively in the last years. See e.g. [8, 10, 18, 20, 23].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34G10, 34K30, 35K65.

Key words and phrases. Degenerate parabolic equations; Hölder spaces; Maximal regularity; Operator-valued Fourier multipliers; Sobolev type equations.

We notice that with the change of variable $v(t) = Mu(t)$ we reduce the problem (1.1) to the multivalued differential equation

$$(1.2) \quad v'(t) \in Lv(t) + f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $L = AM^{-1}$ and $D(L) = M(D(A))$. Then, formally the equation (1.1) reduces to the equation of first order studied in [2]. However, is necessary verify that all the steps in [2] to the single-valued case are valid for the multivalued case (1.2).

In some previous works, to establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions to equation (1.1) some assumptions on operators A and M are considered:

- i) $D(A) \subset D(M)$ and A admits a continuous inverse operator A^{-1} [11, 12],
- ii) $D(A) \subset D(M)$ and M has the bounded inverse [14],
- iii) $D(M) \subset D(A)$ and M has the compact inverse [4, 5].

However, these conditions on operators A and M are restrictive. On the other hand, Arendt, Batty and Bu [2], using operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems, have derived spectral characterizations of well-posedness of the equation (1.1) on Hölder spaces in the case when $M = I$, is the identity operator. This connection motivates the question of whether it is possible to obtain a similar characterization to that given in [21] in the periodic case, for the equation (1.1) in the case of the class of Hölder spaces $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

In this paper, we study existence and uniqueness solutions to equation (1.1) in the Hölder spaces $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), without assuming M has bounded (or compact) inverse as well without any assumption on the relation between $D(A)$ and $D(M)$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 collects the preliminaries and some results about the operator-valued Fourier multipliers in Hölder spaces. Section 3 is devoted to the main result, where the well-posedness of equation (1.1) and some consequences are studied. We remark that in these results, there is not conditions in commutativity of A with M , or in the existence of bounded inverse of A or M . In Section 4, some examples are examined.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . If $X = Y$, we write simply $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. We denote by $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ the space of all X -valued functions f on \mathbb{R} , such that

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{t \neq s} \frac{\|f(t) - f(s)\|}{|t - s|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

If we define $\|f\|_{C^\alpha} := \|f\|_\alpha + \|f(0)\|$, then $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^\alpha}$.

The kernel of the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ on $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is the space of all constant functions and the corresponding quotient space $\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is a Banach space in the induced norm. We identify a function $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ with its equivalence class

$$\dot{f} := \{g \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) : f - g \equiv \text{constant}\}.$$

In this way, $\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ may be identified with the space of all $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ such that $f(0) = 0$. See [2, Section 5].

We also consider in this paper, the Banach space $C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}; X)$, which consists of all $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; X)$ such that $u' \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{C^{\alpha+1}} = \|u'\|_{C^\alpha} + \|u(0)\|.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F}f$, the Fourier transform of f , that is

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} f(t) dt,$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; X)$.

We use the symbol $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ for the *Carleman transform* of f :

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0, \\ -\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, & \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is of *subexponential growth*, by this we mean

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-\epsilon|t|} \|f(t)\| dt < \infty, \quad \text{for each } \epsilon > 0.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open set. By $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we denote the space of all C^∞ -functions in Ω having compact support in Ω .

Definition 2.1. Let $N : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be continuous. We say that N is a \dot{C}^α -multiplier if there exists a mapping $L : \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) \rightarrow \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; Y)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Lf)(s) (\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot N))(s) f(s) ds$$

for all $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ and all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$.

Here $(\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot N))(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ist} \phi(t) N(t) dt \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. Observe that the right-hand side of (2.1) does not depend on the representative of \hat{f} since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{F}(\phi N))(s) ds = 2\pi(\phi N)(0) = 0.$$

Therefore, if L exists, then it is well defined. Moreover, left-hand side of (2.1) determines the function $Lf \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ uniquely up to some constant (by [2, Lemma 5.1]). Moreover, if (2.1) holds, then $L : \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) \rightarrow \dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; Y)$ is linear and continuous (see [2, Definition 5.2]) and if $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is bounded, then Lf is bounded as well (see [2, Remark 6.3]).

The following multiplier theorem is due to Arendt, Batty and Bu [2, Theorem 5.3].

Theorem 2.2. [2] Let $N \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; \mathcal{B}(X, Y))$ be such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{t \neq 0} \|N(t)\| + \sup_{t \neq 0} \|tN'(t)\| + \sup_{t \neq 0} \|t^2N''(t)\| < \infty.$$

Then, N is a \dot{C}^α -multiplier.

Example 2.3. Let X be an Banach space and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Define $N(t) = I$ for $t \geq 0$ and $N(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that N is a \dot{C}^α -multiplier. The associated operator on $\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is called the Riesz projection.

Example 2.4. Let X be an Banach space and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Define $N(t) = (-i \operatorname{sign} t)I$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then N is a \dot{C}^α -multiplier by Theorem 2.2. The associated operator on $\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is called the Hilbert transform.

Recall that a Banach space X has the *Fourier type* p , with $1 \leq p \leq 2$, if the Fourier transform defines a bounded linear operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}; X)$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. As examples, the $L^p(\Omega)$, with $1 \leq p \leq 2$ has Fourier type p ; the Banach space X has the Fourier type 2 if and only if X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space; X has Fourier type p if and only if X^* has Fourier type p . Every Banach space has Fourier type 1. A Banach space X is said to be B -convex if it has Fourier type p , for some $p > 1$. Every uniformly convex space is B -convex. For more details of B -convex spaces, see for instance [17].

Remark 2.5.

If X is B -convex, in particular if X is a *UMD* space, then the Theorem 2.2 holds if the condition (2.2) is replaced by the weaker condition

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{t \neq 0} \|N(t)\| + \sup_{t \neq 0} \|tN'(t)\| < \infty,$$

where $N \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; \mathcal{B}(X, Y))$, see [2, Remark 5.5].

We conclude this section with two Lemmas.

Lemma 2.6. [2] *Let $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$. Then f is constant if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(s)ds = 0$ for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$.*

Define $\operatorname{id} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\operatorname{id}(s) = is$.

Lemma 2.7. [2] *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $u, v \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent,*

- (i) $u \in C^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ and $u' - v$ is constant;
- (ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)\mathcal{F}(\phi)(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(s)\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{id} \cdot \phi)(s)ds$, for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$.

3. A CHARACTERIZATION

Let X a Banach space. In this section, we consider the degenerate differential equation

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(Mu(t)) = Au(t) + f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ and $M : D(M) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ are closed linear operators defined on X , with $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq \{0\}$, and $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$.

The M -modified resolvent set of A , $\rho_M(A)$, is defined by

$$\rho_M(A) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (\lambda M - A) : D(A) \cap D(M) \rightarrow X \text{ is invertible and } (\lambda M - A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(X, [D(A) \cap D(M)])\}.$$

We define the set

$$H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)]) = \{u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)]) : \exists v \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) \text{ such that } v = (Mu)'\}.$$

We establish the definition of *maximal regularity* or *well-posedness* of problem (3.1) as follows.

Definition 3.1. *We say that the equation (3.1) is C^α -well posed if, for each $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$, there exists a unique function $u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}, [D(M)])$ such that the equation (3.1) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Remark 3.2.

Observe that if (3.1) is C^α -well posed, it follows from the closed graph theorem that the map $L : C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$ which associates to f the unique solution u of (3.1) is linear and continuous. Indeed, since A, M are closed operators, we have that the space $H := C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_H := \|(Mu)'\|_{C^\alpha} + \|Au\|_{C^\alpha} + \|u\|_{C^\alpha}$$

is a Banach space.

We begin with the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$, $M : D(M) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ closed linear operators defined on a Banach space X satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq \{0\}$. Suppose that the problem (3.1) is C^α -well posed. Then,*

- (i) $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho_M(A)$,
- (ii) $\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} \|(i\eta M - A)^{-1}\| < \infty$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $(i\eta M - A)x = 0$ where $x \in D(A) \cap D(M)$. Let $u(t) = e^{i\eta t}x$. Then, u is a solution to (3.1) with $f \equiv 0$. Hence, by uniqueness it follows that $u \equiv 0$, that is, $x = 0$. We conclude that $(i\eta M - A)$ is injective. In order to show the surjectivity, let $y \in X$. Let $L : C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$ be the bounded linear operator which takes each $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ to the unique solution u of equation (3.1). Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = e^{i\eta t}y$ and $u = Lf$. Then, for fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $v_1(t) := u(t+s)$ and $v_2(t) := e^{i\eta s}u(t)$ are both solutions of (3.1) with $g(t) = e^{i\eta s}f(t)$. Hence, $v_1 = v_2$, that is, $u(t+s) = e^{i\eta s}u(t)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x = u(0) \in D(A) \cap D(M)$. Then, $u(t) = e^{i\eta t}x$ satisfies the equation (3.1) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, in particular, for $t = 0$, we obtain,

$$(3.2) \quad (i\eta M - A)x = i\eta Mu(0) - Au(0) = (Mu)'(0) - Au(0) = f(0) = y.$$

Therefore $(i\eta M - A)$ is surjective. By (3.2) we have $u(t) = e^{i\eta t}(i\eta M - A)^{-1}y$. Denote by $e_\eta \otimes x$ the function $t \rightarrow (e_\eta \otimes x)(t) := e^{i\eta t}x$. Since $\|e_\eta \otimes x\|_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha \|x\|$, where

$\gamma_\alpha = 2 \sup_{t>0} t^{-\alpha} \sin(t/2)$ (see [2, Section 3]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha \|(i\eta M - A)^{-1}y\| &= \|e_\eta \otimes (i\eta M - A)^{-1}y\|_\alpha = \|u\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \|u\|_H \leq \|L\| \|f\|_{C^\alpha} \\ &= \|L\| (\gamma_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha + 1) \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(i\eta M - A)^{-1}y\| \leq \|L\| (1 + \gamma_\alpha^{-1} |\eta|^{-\alpha}) \|y\|.$$

Thus $(i\eta M - A)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator for every $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For $\eta = 0$, observe that by the closed graph theorem A^{-1} is an isomorphism of X onto $D(A) \cap D(M)$ (seen as a Banach space with the graph norm). We conclude that $i\eta \in \rho_M(A)$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, since by the closed graph theorem, $L : C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$ is a bounded operator, we have that for all $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ there exist $u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$ and a constant $C > 0$ (independent of f) such that

$$(3.3) \quad \|(Mu)'\|_{C^\alpha} + \|Au\|_{C^\alpha} \leq C \|f\|_{C^\alpha}.$$

For $f(t) = e^{int}y$ where $y \in X$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, the solution u of (3.1) is given by $u(t) = e^{int}(i\eta M - A)^{-1}y$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Mu)'\|_\alpha &= \|e_\eta \otimes i\eta M(i\eta M - A)^{-1}y\|_\alpha \\ &= \gamma_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha \|i\eta M(i\eta M - A)^{-1}y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(Mu)' \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ and $\|(Mu)'\|_\alpha \leq C \|f\|_{C^\alpha} = C(\gamma_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha + 1) \|y\|$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha \|i\eta M(i\eta M - A)^{-1}y\| \leq C(\gamma_\alpha |\eta|^\alpha + 1) \|y\|.$$

From (3.4) we have that for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\sup_{|\eta|>\epsilon} \|i\eta M(i\eta M - A)^{-1}\| \leq C \sup_{|\eta|>\epsilon} (1 + \gamma_\alpha^{-1} |\eta|^{-\alpha}) < \infty.$$

By continuity it follows that $\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} \|i\eta M(i\eta M - A)^{-1}\| < \infty$. ■

The following is the main results in this paper. It corresponds to an extension of [2, Theorem 6.1] in case $M = I$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$, $M : D(M) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ closed linear operators defined on a Banach space X satisfying $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq \{0\}$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent*

- (i) *The equation (3.1) is C^α -well posed;*
- (ii) *$i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho_M(A)$ and $\sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} \|i\eta M(i\eta M - A)^{-1}\| < \infty$.*

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, define the operator $N(t) := (itM - A)^{-1}$. Observe that by hypothesis $N \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}(X, [D(A) \cap D(M)]))$. We claim that N is a \dot{C}^α -multiplier. In fact, since $0 \in \rho_M(A)$, we have that A^{-1} is bounded (seen as an operator from X to $[D(A) \cap D(M)]$). The resolvent identity $itMN(t) - I = AN(t)$ implies $A^{-1}(itMN(t) - I) = N(t)$ and therefore, by hypothesis we have that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|N(t)\| < \infty$. On the other hand,

$$N'(t) = -iN(t)MN(t),$$

$$N''(t) = -2N(t)MN(t)MN(t).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} tN'(t) &= -itN(t)MN(t), \\ t^2N''(t) &= -2N(t)tMN(t)tMN(t). \end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis and the above identities, we have

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|tN'(t)\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|t^2N''(t)\| < \infty.$$

We conclude from Theorem 2.2 that N is a \dot{C}^α -multiplier, with $N \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}(X, [D(A) \cap D(M)]))$.

Define $S(t) := (\text{id} \cdot MN)(t)$, where $\text{id}(t) = it$. Observe that by hypothesis $S \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}(X))$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} S'(t) &= iMN(t) + tMN(t)MN(t), \\ S''(t) &= 2MN(t)MN(t) - 2itMN(t)MN(t)MN(t). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} tS'(t) &= itMN(t) + tMN(t)tMN(t), \\ t^2S''(t) &= 2tMN(t)tMN(t) - 2itMN(t)tMN(t)tMN(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from hypothesis $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|S(t)\| < \infty$, $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|tS'(t)\| < \infty$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|t^2S''(t)\| < \infty$. We conclude that S is a \dot{C}^α -multiplier by Theorem 2.2.

Let $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$. Since N and S are \dot{C}^α -multipliers, there exist $\bar{u} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)])$, and $v \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot N)(s)f(s)ds,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi \cdot S)(s)f(s)ds,$$

for all $\phi, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. Let $\phi = \text{id} \cdot \varphi$. From (3.5) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s)\mathcal{F}(\text{id} \cdot \varphi)(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\text{id} \cdot \varphi \cdot N)(s)f(s)ds.$$

Observe that $\bar{u}(t) \in D(A) \cap D(M)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\phi \cdot N)(s)x \in D(A) \cap D(M)$ for all $x \in X$, $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. Using the fact that M is closed with $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq \{0\}$, we have from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} M\bar{u}(s)\mathcal{F}(\text{id} \cdot \varphi)(s)ds = A \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s)\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(s)ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi \cdot I)(s)f(s)ds.$$

Moreover, from (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} M\bar{u}(s)\mathcal{F}(\text{id} \cdot \varphi)(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi \cdot S)(s)f(s)ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(s)ds.$$

Since $\bar{u} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)])$ and $D(A) \cap D(M) \neq \{0\}$, we have that $M\bar{u} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$. It follows from (3.9) and Lemma 2.7 that $(M\bar{u})' = v + y_1$ where $y_1 \in X$. Clearly $v + y_1 \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$, and therefore $\bar{u} \in H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$. From (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(s)\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(s)ds = A \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{u}(s)\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(s)ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(\varphi \cdot I)(s)f(s)ds.$$

From Lemma 2.6 we obtain $v = A\bar{u} + f + y_2$ where $y_2 \in X$. Therefore $(M\bar{u})' = A\bar{u} + f + y_3$ with $y_3 = y_1 + y_2$. Let $u(t) = \bar{u}(t) + x$ where $x = A^{-1}y_3$. Note that x is well defined since $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho_M(A)$. Since $\bar{u} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$ we have $u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$. An easy computation shows that u satisfies the equation (3.1). To see the uniqueness, suppose that

$$(3.10) \quad (Mu)'(t) = Au(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)])$ with $u \in H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$. Since M is a closed operator, we have $\widehat{Mu}'(\lambda) = \lambda M\hat{u}(\lambda) - Mu(0)$ ($\operatorname{Re}\lambda \neq 0$). Since $\hat{u}(\lambda) \in D(A) \cap D(M) \neq \{0\}$ we obtain, $(\lambda M - A)\hat{u}(\lambda) = Mu(0)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$. Since $i\mathbb{R} \subset \rho_M(A)$ it follows that the Carleman spectrum $\operatorname{sp}_C(u)$ of u is empty. Therefore $u \equiv 0$ (see [3, Theorem 4.8.2]). We conclude that the problem (3.1) is C^α -well posed.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Follows from Proposition 3.3. \blacksquare

Corollary 3.5. *In the context of Theorem 3.4, if condition (ii) is fulfilled, we have $(Mu)', Au \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ such that*

$$(3.11) \quad \|(Mu)'\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)} + \|Au\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)} \leq C\|f\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)}.$$

Remark 3.6.

The inequality (3.11) is a consequence of the Closed Graph Theorem and known as the *maximal regularity property* for equation (3.1). We deduce that the operator S defined by:

$$(Su)(t) = (Mu)'(t) - Au(t)$$

with domain

$$D(S) = H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)]) \cap C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]),$$

is an isomorphism onto. In fact, by Remark 3.2 we have that the space $H := C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; [D(A) \cap D(M)]) \cap H^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}; [D(M)])$ becomes a Banach space under the norm

$$\|u\|_H := \|u\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)} + \|(Mu)'\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)} + \|Au\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)}.$$

We remark that such isomorphisms are crucial for the handling of nonlinear evolution equations (see [1]). Indeed, assume that X is a Banach space and A, M satisfy the condition (ii) in Theorem 3.4. Consider the semilinear problem

$$(3.12) \quad (Mu)'(t) = Au(t) + f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define the Nemytskii's superposition operator $N : H \rightarrow C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X)$ given by $N(v)(t) = f(t, v(t))$ and the bounded linear operator

$$T := S^{-1} : C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}; X) \rightarrow H$$

by $T(g) = u$ where u is the unique solution to linear problem

$$(Mu)'(t) = Au(t) + g(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then, to solve (3.12) we need to show that the operator $R : H \rightarrow H$ defined by $R = TN$ has a fixed point. For more details, we refer to H. Amann [1], H. Brill [7] and A. Rutkas and L. Vlasenko [24].

4. EXAMPLES

Example 4.7.

Let us consider the problem

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{\partial(m(x)u)}{\partial t} - \Delta u = f(t, x), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$$

$$(4.14) \quad u = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $m(x) \geq 0$ is a given measurable bounded function on Ω and f is a function on $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$.

Let M be the multiplication operator by m . If we take $X = H^{-1}(\Omega)$ then by [6, p.38] (see also references therein), we have that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|M(zM - \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{c}{1 + |z|},$$

whenever $\operatorname{Re} z \geq -c(1 + |\operatorname{Im}(z)|)$. In particular, in the imaginary axis we have $\|M(itM - \Delta)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{c}{1+|t|}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we conclude by Theorem 3.4 that the equation (4.13) is C^α -well posed. Thus, given $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega; X)$ there exists a unique solution u to problem (4.13) which satisfy $(m(x)u)', \Delta u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega; X)$.

Example 4.8.

Let P be a densely defined positive selfadjoint operator defined on a Hilbert space X with $P \geq \delta > 0$. Let $M = P - \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \leq \delta$, and let $A = -\sum_{i=0}^k a_i P^i$ with $a_i \geq 0$, $a_k > 0$, and $k \geq 2$ is an integer. From [14, p. 73] we have that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|M(zM - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{c}{1 + |z|},$$

whenever $\operatorname{Re} z \geq -c(1 + |\operatorname{Im}(z)|)$. Thus, in the imaginary axis we have $\|M(itM - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{c}{1+|t|}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, in this conditions the equation (3.1) is C^α -well posed.

Example 4.9.

For $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ where $\Omega = (0, 1)$, consider the problem

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(1 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) u(x, t) = -\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} u(x, t) + f(x, t)$$

$$(4.16) \quad u = 0, \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

In the space $X = L^2(\Omega)$, let $P = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$, with domain $D(P) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Observe that P is a positive selfadjoint operator in X . If $M = P + I$, and $A = -P^2$, then the equation (4.15) can be written in the form of (3.1). By Example 4.8, the equation (4.15) is C^α -well posed.

Example 4.10.

Consider the problem

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 1 \right) u(t, x) = -a \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) - ku(t, x) + f(t, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in [0, \pi]$$

$$(4.18) \quad u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, 0) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, \pi) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where a is positive constant and $-2a < k < 4a$. In $X = C_0([0, \pi]) = \{u \in C([0, \pi]) : u(0) = u(\pi)\}$ take K the realization of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ with domain

$$D(K) = \{u \in C^2([0, \pi]) : u(0) = u(\pi) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(0) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(\pi) = 0\}.$$

If $M = K + I$, and $A = aM + (k - a)I$, then the equation (4.17) can be written in the form of (3.1). By [6, p.39] or [14] we have, as in the above example:

$$\|M(itM - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{c}{1 + |t|}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.4 the equation (4.17) is C^α -well posed, that is, for all $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi]; C_0([0, \pi]))$ there exists a unique solution u of (4.17) with maximal regularity $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi]; C_0([0, \pi]))$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann, *Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems. Volume I: Abstract Linear Theory*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol 89., Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1995.
- [2] W. Arendt, C. Batty, S. Bu, *Fourier multipliers for Hölder continuous functions and maximal regularity*, Studia Math. **160** (2004) 23-51.
- [3] W. Arendt, C. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, *Vector-Valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems*, Monographs in Math., vol. 96, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [4] K. Balachandran, E. Anandhi, J. Dauer, *Boundary controllability of Sobolev-type abstract nonlinear integrodifferential systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **277** (2003), 446-464.
- [5] K. Balachandran, S. Kiruthika, J. Trujillo, *On fractional impulsive equations of Sobolev type with nonlocal condition in Banach spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), no. 3, 1157-1165.
- [6] V. Barbu, A. Favini, *Periodic problems for degenerate differential equations*, Rend. Instit. Mat. Univ. Trieste XXVIII (Suppl.) (1997) 29-57.
- [7] H. Brill, *A semilinear Sobolev evolution equation in a Banach space*, J. Differential Equations **24** (1977), no. 3, 412-425.

- [8] S. Bu, *Maximal regularity of second order delay equations in Banach spaces*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **25** (2009), 21-28.
- [9] R. W. Carroll, R. E. Showalter, *Singular and degenerate Cauchy problems*, Mathematics in science and engineering, **127**, New York, Academic Press, 1976.
- [10] C. Cuevas, C. Lizama, *Well posedness for a class of flexible structure in Hölder spaces*, Math. Probl. Eng. 2009, Art. ID 358329, 13 pp.
- [11] A. Favaron, A. Favini, *Maximal time regularity for degenerate evolution integro-differential equations*, J. of Evol. Equ., **10** (2010), 377-412.
- [12] A. Favini, A. Lorenzi, *Identification problems for singular integro-differential equations of parabolic type II*, Nonlinear Anal., **56**, (2004), 879-904.
- [13] A. Favini, A. Yagi, *Space and time regularity for degenerate evolution equations*. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **44** (1992), 331-350.
- [14] A. Favini, A. Yagi, *Degenerate differential equations in Banach spaces*, Pure and Applied Math., **215**, Dekker, New York, Basel, Hong-Kong, 1999.
- [15] A. Favini, A. Yagi, *Quasilinear degenerate evolution equations in Banach spaces*. J. Evol. Equ. **4** (2004), 421-449.
- [16] M. Al Horani, A. Favini, *An identification problem for first-order degenerate differential equations*. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **130** (2006), (1), 41-60.
- [17] W. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol 1*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [18] V. Keyantuo, C. Lizama, *Hölder continuous solutions for integro-differential equations and maximal regularity*, J. Diff. Equations **230** (2006), 634-660.
- [19] J. Lightbourne III, S. Rankin III, *A partial functional-differential equation of Sobolev type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **93** (1983), no. 2, 328-337.
- [20] C. Lizama, V. Poblete, *Maximal regularity of delay equations in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **175** (1) (2006), 91-102.
- [21] C. Lizama, R. Ponce, *Periodic solutions of degenerate differential equations in vector-valued function spaces*, Studia Math. **202** (1) (2011), 49-63.
- [22] G. Marinocchi, *Functional approach to nonlinear models of water flow in soils*, Math. Model. Theory Appl., **21**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [23] V. Poblete, *Maximal regularity of second-order equations with delay*, J. Diff. Equations, **246**, (2009) 261-276.
- [24] A. Rutkas, L. Vlasenko, *Existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence for implicit semilinear functional differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis **55** (2003) 125-139.
- [25] G. Sviridyuk, V. Fedorov, *Linear Sobolev type equations and degenerate semigroups of operators*, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series, VSP, Utrecht, 2003.

UNIVERSIDAD DE TALCA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y FÍSICA, CASILLA 747, TALCA-CHILE.
E-mail address: rponce@inst-mat.otalca.cl